# 2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

# Senate Amendment (SA-SB618)

FE Sent For:

Received: 03/01/2006				Received By: pgrant			
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:			
For: <b>Tim Carpenter</b> (608) 266-8535				By/Representing: Stuart Ewy			
This file may be shown	to any legislato	or: <b>NO</b>		Drafter: pgrant			
May Contact:				Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Education - MPS			Extra Copies:				
Submit via email: YES							
Requester's email:	Sen.Carpe	nter@legis.s	tate.wi.us				
Carbon copy (CC:) to:							
Pre Topic:			***************************************				
No specific pre topic giv	ven						
Topic:					-	·	
Referendum in Milwauk	cee regarding M	(IPCP					
Instructions:	,						
See Attached							
<b>Drafting History:</b>			tti tti maasaa saa saa saa saa saa saa saa saa				
Vers. <u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/? pgrant 03/01/2006	jdyer 03/01/2006						
/1		jfrantze 03/01/2000	5	sbasford 03/01/2006	sbasford 03/01/2006		

<END>

## 2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

## **Senate Amendment (SA-SB618)**

Received: 03/01/2006	Received By: pgrant
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:

For: Tim Carpenter (608) 266-8535 By/Representing: Stuart Ewy

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **pgrant** 

May Contact: Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Education - MPS Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Carpenter@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

-

No specific pre topic given

Referendum in Milwaukee regarding MPCP

**Instructions:** 

**Pre Topic:** 

Topic:

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

/? pgrant / 3/1/2 04 96

FE Sent For: <END>

#### **Grant, Peter**

From:

Ewy, Stuart

Sent:

Wednesday, March 01, 2006 11:11 AM

To:

LRB.Legal; Grant, Peter

Cc:

Sen.Carpenter

Subject:

Message for Peter Grant

Peter Grant

Hi Peter-

Regarding SB 618 regarding changes to the voucher program, we hear that the bill may come up for a vote on Thursday. Looks like a compromise will not be reached prior to then to protect MKE property taxpayers, so Tim would like to go ahead with the following amendments drafted so that he can offer them on the floor.

- 1) Require a separate line on Milwaukee Property tax bill, starting in 2006, showing proportion of taxes going to MPS, and those going to pay for Vouchers Schools
- 2) Voucher Schools get paid only audited actual costs to educate the student -- not flat rate of \$6,500 per pupil, but \$6500 would be the max. Costs would be audited to make sure costs are going for legitimate educational needs, not principal's new Mercedes Benz automobiles, etc
- 3) It is expected that not all the 7500 new student slots would be filled in the first year (rather like 2200 new students, I think). Tim would like a referendum in the City of Milwaukee on November 2006 ballot that asks something like: "Currently, Milwaukee Property Taxpayers are paying approximately \$1,000 per each student enrolled in the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program. After the 2006 year should any more students than are currently enrolled be enrolled in the program -- should this cost be paid by the state instead of Milwaukee property taxpayers?" Tim would like to make this referendum not advisory, but binding upon the state. Can this be done?
- 4) Expand the voucher program statewide to school districts in all areas currently represented by GOP Senators. Each district would allow 7500 students in the program.
- 5) Sunset the cap increase in 2007 if the state does not pay Milwaukee's property tax costs for this program.

Can you draft these?

Thank you!

G. Stuart Ewy Office of Sen. Tim Carpenter 608.266.8535

#### **Grant, Peter**

From:

Ewy, Stuart

Sent:

Wednesday, March 01, 2006 12:50 PM

To: Cc: Grant, Peter Sen.Carpenter

Subject:

RE: Message for Peter Grant

#### Hi Peter

Here are the answers.

I can do item 1.

#### Thank you

In item 2, currently the costs are determined by DPI. Do you want them audited as well? If so, does each private school choose an independent auditor?

Yes, all audited. Some schools are making a profit, and that is not what Tim believes the intent of this program. Audit by the Audit Bureau, no choosing of auditor by the school.

Regarding item 3, I'm not sure that it is constitutional to make a law contingent upon the passage of a referendum in one city, even if the law applies only in that city.

#### Do your best!

Also, do you mean that after 2006-07, the cap is not increased above the number of students attending in 2006-07 unless the state pays the costs?

Yes. In a sense, the cap collapses to the number of current enrollees, unless the state pays the costs of any student over that new "cap."

Please also see my question regarding item 5, below.

#### Okay.

Item 4 is most likely unconstitutional as a private or local law. It also raises equal protection issues. And there are a number of practical issues. For example, many (most?) school districts straddle senate district lines.. Which senate district do they belong to? What happens if a Republican senator loses an election? Does the program immediately cease?

Point taken. Expand the program to all schools in Senate Districts 8, 33, 28, 21, with a cap of 7500.

In item 5, do you mean the increase in the cap is applicable in 2006-07, but not in any subsequent school year unless the state pays the city's property tax costs for that year? So the program could alternately sunset and then revive?

Yes, it could revive if and only if the state government pays the portion that the MKE property taxpayers would have to pay.

Cheers.

s

From:

Grant, Peter

Sent:

Wednesday, March 01, 2006 11:53 AM

To:

Ewy, Stuart

Subject:

RE: Message for Peter Grant

P						
- 4			1.4	Δ.		
43		•				
~/			-		- 1	
ZJ		₽.				
	-	<b>.</b> .		•		

Date (time) needed

/10	OAJ
£	- 2

LRBa 258

## **AMENDMENT**

See form AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.

# s) a amendment

			(LRBa	
TO S A SUBSTIT				
TO 2005 SB SJR	SR AB AJR	AR _	6/8 (LRB-	<i></i>

At the locations indicated, amend the as follows: (fill ONLY if "engrossed ...." or "as shown by ......")

#. Page . . . , line . . . . : #. Page ./..., line ....:

Page ....; line ....:

# STATE OF WISCONSIN – **LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB

Research (608-266-0341)

Library (608-266-7040)

Legal (608-266-3561)

LRB

The dependence of public instructions
aublic instructions
J 20.255 X Y
" SER / G (R 201.255 (3) (fm)
80.355 X
"SEC. 19. CR. 20.255 (2) (fm)  20.255  20.255  20.255  W. 255(2) (fm)  Milwankee Panental  Bright property tax A sun
at a second of the second of t
reimhuseme to
Choice program, property tax A sun
sufficient to reiniburse the city of
Milwaulee for the property tax costs
Milliahulae for the property tax costs
choice Program This paragraph applies only  If the number of pipils attending private
a 1 10 masa 10 me 1 mayor rangar
Typicer s. 119.23, as determined hel
Chave process of the second
= 1 P = free for the form only
it he number of projet attending private
G-3SECTION,
(3) 300 1100 p
# Page 3 [ini 1: substitute "place" to
- SECTION (S)
"\(\delta''\). (B)
Schools under that program is greate
than the number doing is in
the 2015 by school year as determined Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau
by the diplent ment of make in struction . Reference Bureau
1 1 mallower of the star to a fine to a

## STATE OF WISCONSIN – LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB

Research (608-266-0341)

Library (608-266-7040)

Legal (608-266-3561)

LRB

Research (666 266 6541) Elistary (666 266 7646) Elistary (666 266 7646)
# Page 7, In Q: alta that line west:
nonstat " (2) There shall be submitted to the
whe of the electors of the city of Milwanker
at the general election to be held in
Movember 2006 the following question:  property  "Convently, topayers in the city of Milwaukee
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
pay approximately \$1,000 annuly for each
pyril attending a private school under the Milwanker Penental Chuic Program. That
seition 1g of 2005 Wisconin At
(this act), which requires the state of Wisconsin
to reinburge the lity of Miluauke for the property
tax costs attributable to the Milwaukee Parental
Chuic Program of the number of proits
enrolled in the program exceed, the  Namber enrolled in the 2006-07 5 that Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau

#### STATE OF WISCONSIN – LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB

Research (608-266-0341)

Library (608-266-7040)

Legal (608-266-3561)

LRB

<u> </u>
year, become effective?" If the approved grestion is approved by a majority of
approvéd
question is approved by a majority of
all votes east on the question or the
electron, sectron 19 1 this act shall
De while faw of themse that section
be were law, otherwise that section.  effect  shale not take effect.
Evel/

